

How to Cite:

Ha, T. T. T., Lien, V. T. T., & Hang, T. T. (2025). Early childhood education through a child-centered approach: Theoretical foundations and key issues. *Macrolinguistics and Microlinguistics*, 6(2), 74–84. <https://doi.org/10.21744/mami.v6n2.40>

Early Childhood Education Through a Child-Centered Approach: Theoretical Foundations and Key Issues

Tran Thi Thai Ha

Huynh Cung Kindergarten, Tam Hiep Commune, Thanh Tri District, Hanoi, Vietnam

Vu Thi Thuy Lien

Yen Nguu Kindergarten, Tam Hiep Commune, Thanh Tri District, Hanoi, Vietnam

Tran Thi Hang

Chu Voi Con Kindergarten, 343 Doi Can Street, Lieu Giai Ward, Ba Dinh District, Hanoi, Vietnam

Abstract---This paper explores the foundations and key issues of early childhood education through a child-centered lens. It reviews major educational theories from Vygotsky, Piaget, and the Reggio Emilia approach to highlight how children actively construct knowledge in socially and emotionally rich environments. The study emphasizes the roles of the environment, teachers, and play-based learning in fostering autonomy, creativity, and holistic development. Despite the benefits, the paper identifies challenges such as limited teacher training, inadequate resources, rigid curricula, and inconsistent policy support. In response, it proposes theoretical and policy-driven solutions, advocating for flexible frameworks, sustained professional development, and investment in inclusive learning environments to bridge the gap between theory and practice.

Keywords---Child-Centered Education, Early Childhood Development, Learning Environment, Teacher Professional Development, Educational Policy.

1. Introduction

In recent decades, early childhood education has shifted from teacher-directed models toward approaches that position the child as an active agent in their learning. This child-centered approach emphasizes the unique needs, interests, and developmental trajectories of each child, arguing that learning environments should be designed around children's innate curiosity and capacity for exploration rather than predetermined curricular sequences (Catalano, 2021; Catalano et al., 2023). The urgency of this shift arises from mounting evidence that when children are empowered to make choices, engage in meaningful play, and collaborate with peers and adults, they develop stronger motivation, self-regulation, and social competence (Cade et al., 2022; Greaves & Bahous, 2021).

The primary objective of this theoretical study is to clarify the foundational concepts and core principles that underpin a child-centered paradigm in early childhood settings. Specifically, we ask: (1) What defines a genuinely child-centered learning environment? (2) What roles do adults, peers, and the physical setting play in fostering child autonomy and agency? (3) How have leading theorists—ranging from Vygotsky's cultural-historical perspective to Montessori's prepared environment—conceptualized the relationship between child, context, and learning process? By addressing these questions, the paper aims to offer practitioners and policymakers a coherent theoretical framework to inform curriculum design, pedagogical practice, and teacher training.

This work adopts a qualitative, theoretical methodology, drawing on an extensive literature review of monographs, peer-reviewed articles, and position papers. Key sources include Vygotsky's lectures on environment and *perezhivanie* (Delari Júnior & Passos, 2009; Vigotski, 2010), empirical case studies in child-centered pedagogy (Cade et al., 2022), and synthesized overviews of early childhood development theories (Saracho, 2023; Saracho & Evans, 2021). Through comparative analysis, we delineate converging themes—such as respect for children's voices, the primacy of play, and the orchestration of social interactions—as well as tensions, for instance between open-ended exploration and curriculum accountability. The chosen sources span diverse cultural contexts (e.g., Gondiwati & Nurhayati, 2024; Suriansyah et al., 2025) and theoretical traditions (e.g., Piagetian, sociocultural, slow-education), ensuring a comprehensive overview.

Finally, this introduction situates the study within broader debates on educational equity and innovation. As mounting global attention turns to quality early care and learning as a foundation for lifelong well-being (André, 2013; Vossoughi et al., 2021), clarifying the theoretical underpinnings of child-centered practice becomes imperative. A robust conceptual map can guide future empirical research, support the professional development of educators (Shchelina, 2019; Winterbottom & Hall, 2024), and inform

policy frameworks that balance child autonomy with societal expectations. The subsequent sections will unpack these theoretical foundations, analyze key issues, and propose directions for both scholarship and practice.

2. Theoretical Foundations

2.1. Definition of Child-Centered Education

Child-centered education is built upon the fundamental premise that children are active constructors of their learning, possessing innate curiosity and individual motivations that drive engagement with the world around them (Catalano, 2021; Catalano et al., 2023). In this approach, teachers are reconceptualized not as sole knowledge-holders but as facilitators and co-learners who design environments and experiences that invite exploration, questioning, and problem-solving (Cade et al., 2022; Greaves & Bahous, 2021). Such environments prioritize flexibility—both in physical layout and in curricular content—allowing each child to follow personal interests and developmental rhythms, rather than adhering to a rigid, one-size-fits-syllabus (Gondiawati & Nurhayati, 2024; André, 2013).

The characteristics of child-centered settings include rich, multi-sensory materials that children can manipulate freely; thematic projects emerging from children's questions; and documentation practices—such as learning stories and portfolios—that make children's thinking visible and support ongoing reflection by both educators and families (Vossoughi et al., 2021; Sawaia & Magiolino, 2016). Assessment in these settings is predominantly formative, focusing on observing and responding to children's evolving interests and skills rather than benchmarking against standardized tests (Saracho, 2023; Shchelina, 2019).

In distinction from traditional approaches, which often emphasize rote memorization, teacher-led instruction, and summative evaluation, child-centered education values intrinsic motivation, social collaboration, and the co-construction of knowledge (Hart et al., 2003; Smidt & Embacher, 2023). Whereas traditional classrooms position the teacher at the front delivering content to a uniform group, child-centered classrooms feature multiple activity zones, adult-child interactions that scaffold learning within each child's Zone of Proximal Development, and a curriculum that evolves in response to children's emerging theories about the world (Delari Júnior & Passos, 2009; Vigotski, 2010). This paradigm shift not only supports deeper cognitive engagement but also nurtures dispositions such as autonomy, creativity, and a lifelong love of learning.

2.2. Core Principles of the Child-Centered Approach

A fundamental principle of child-centered education is respecting individual differences among children, whereby educators recognize that each child brings

unique experiences, temperaments, and learning trajectories into the classroom. This stance requires teachers to engage in ongoing, sensitive observation, documenting children's preferences and cultural backgrounds to tailor activities that affirm their identities and strengths (Hart et al., 2003; Smidt & Embacher, 2023). By attending to these differences, educators foster an inclusive environment in which all children feel valued and capable of contributing their perspectives (Harris, 1995; Catalano et al., 2023).

Closely related is the principle of encouraging interaction and active exploration, grounded in Vygotsky's social constructivist framework that positions learning as inherently social (Delari Júnior & Passos, 2009; Pino, 2010). In practice, this means designing collaborative tasks where children negotiate meaning, solve problems together, and receive scaffolded support from teachers or more knowledgeable peers within their Zone of Proximal Development (Vigotski, 2010; Toassa & Souza, 2010). Such interactions not only advance cognitive development but also cultivate essential socio-emotional skills like empathy, negotiation, and perspective-taking (Cade et al., 2022; Greaves & Bahous, 2021).

Another cornerstone is learning through play and hands-on experiences, which honors play as children's "work" and primary vehicle for discovering the world (Catalano, 2021; Sawaia & Magiolino, 2016). Playful settings equipped with loose parts, open-ended materials, and real-world props invite experimentation, symbolic representation, and sustained inquiry (Cade et al., 2022; Vossoughi et al., 2021). Through activities such as dramatic role-play, construction projects, and sensory explorations, children actively construct knowledge, internalize complex concepts, and develop fine- and gross-motor skills in authentic contexts (Gondiawati & Nurhayati, 2024; Alimovna & Abduraufovna, 2025).

These principles challenge traditional didactic methods by positioning the child as a central agent in their learning journey. Instead of following a predetermined script, educators curate dynamic environments, pose provocations, and untangle curricular goals from standardized benchmarks to prioritize children's emergent interests and capabilities (Greaves & Bahous, 2021; André, 2013). By weaving respect for individuality, social engagement, and experiential play into everyday practice, child-centered approaches lay the groundwork for holistic development, nurturing not only cognitive outcomes but also the dispositions of curiosity, resilience, and collaborative spirit that sustain lifelong learning.

2.3. Related Educational Theories

Jean Piaget's cognitive development theory posits that children progress through a series of qualitatively distinct stages—sensorimotor, preoperational, concrete operational, and formal operational—each characterized by evolving modes of

thought and understanding (Saracho & Evans, 2021). Within this framework, learning is viewed as an active process: children construct mental models of the world through direct interaction with materials, and their reasoning becomes more sophisticated as they assimilate and accommodate new experiences (Smolka, 1992). Piagetian pedagogy therefore, emphasizes discovery learning and the use of concrete manipulatives to support the transition between stages (Saracho, 2023; Cade et al., 2022).

Lev Vygotsky's social constructivism extends Piagetian ideas by foregrounding the fundamentally social nature of cognition. Vygotsky argued that higher mental functions originate in social interaction and are later internalized, and he introduced the concept of the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) to describe the gap between what a child can do independently and what they can achieve with guidance (Vigotski, 2010; Delari Júnior & Passos, 2009). In child-centered classrooms, this translates into scaffolding practices where teachers and more capable peers provide targeted support through language, modeling, and collaborative problem-solving to extend each child's current capabilities (Pino, 2010; Toassa & Souza, 2010).

The integrated learning model of Reggio Emilia synthesizes Piagetian and Vygotskian principles within a richly designed environment. Originating in the Italian town of Reggio Emilia, this approach treats the classroom as the “third teacher,” where light, color, materials, and spatial arrangements provoke wonder and investigation (Gondiawati & Nurhayati, 2024; Winterbottom & Hall, 2024). Teachers act as researchers, co-constructing curriculum with children by documenting their questions, hypotheses, and representations through photographs, transcriptions, and portfolios (Vossoughi et al., 2021; Sawaia & Magiolino, 2016). Collaboration—among children, teachers, families, and community members—is central, reflecting Vygotsky's emphasis on social mediation and Piaget's focus on active exploration.

3. Research Methodology

3.1. Research Approach

We adopted a qualitative, theoretical approach in which the researcher actively synthesizes and contrasts various academic viewpoints. Through iterative reading and critical reflection, key arguments and conceptual relationships were identified and refined, enabling a cohesive theoretical narrative to emerge.

3.2. Data Collection

Literature Review:

Over 80 distinct sources—including peer-reviewed journal articles, scholarly monographs, national curriculum guidelines, and policy reports—were identified, collected, and catalogued.

All materials were organized into three thematic categories: foundational theory, practical implementation studies, and policy or curriculum documentation.

Source Selection:

Priority was given to landmark works with high citation counts and to official documents issued by the Ministry of Education and provincial education authorities.

3.3. Data Analysis

Thematic Synthesis:

Content was coded into primary themes such as “core concepts,” “fundamental principles,” “role of the environment,” and “role of the educator.”

Through multiple coding rounds, themes were consolidated into a logically coherent framework that accurately reflects both convergence and diversity across sources.

Comparative Model Analysis:

Three representative models—Montessori, Reggio Emilia, and sociocultural—were evaluated across four dimensions: curriculum design, teacher role, assessment practices, and learning environment structure.

This comparison highlighted shared emphases on child autonomy and experiential learning, as well as differences in material structuring and documentation methods.

3.4. Source Materials

The methodology drew on three main types of documents:

Scholarly Articles from leading international and domestic early childhood education journals.

Monographs and Edited Volumes by prominent theorists and child development specialists.

Official Curricula and Policy Manuals issued by the national Ministry of Education and relevant local education departments.

4. Analysis of Key Theoretical Issues

4.1. Role of the Learning Environment

The learning environment in child-centered education serves as a dynamic catalyst for development, encompassing three interconnected dimensions: physical space, socio-emotional climate, and cultural context. The physical environment, inspired by Reggio Emilia’s “third teacher” concept, prioritizes open-ended materials, natural light, and flexible zones that invite exploration and collaboration ([Gondiawati & Nurhayati, 2024](#); [Winterbottom & Hall, 2024](#)). Multi-sensory resources like loose parts and real-world props enable children to manipulate, experiment, and construct knowledge through play ([Cade et al., 2022](#)).

The socio-emotional climate emphasizes safety, trust, and respect, allowing children to express emotions and take risks without fear of judgment. Vygotsky's emphasis on social interaction underscores the importance of peer collaboration and adult scaffolding in nurturing empathy and problem-solving skills (Vygotski, 2010; Delari Júnior & Passos, 2009). Culturally, the environment must reflect children's lived experiences, integrating family traditions and community values to foster identity affirmation and inclusivity (Suriansyah et al., 2025; Harris, 1995).

4.2. Role of the Teacher

In child-centered pedagogy, teachers transition from instructors to facilitators and co-learners. Their role involves keen observation, documentation, and responsive scaffolding within each child's Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) (Vygotski, 2010; Pino, 2010). Rather than dictating content, teachers design provocations—such as open-ended questions or material arrangements—that spark curiosity and guide inquiry (Vossoughi et al., 2021; Catalano et al., 2023).

Effective educators balance structure with flexibility, adapting activities to align with children's emerging interests while subtly integrating curricular goals. They also act as advocates for child agency, amplifying children's voices through democratic classroom practices like collaborative decision-making (Greaves & Bahous, 2021; Sawaia & Magiolino, 2016). This shift demands ongoing reflection and professional development to navigate the tension between child autonomy and institutional accountability (Shchelina, 2019).

4.3. Curriculum Design and Learning Activities

Child-centered curricula are emergent and interdisciplinary, rooted in children's inquiries and play. For example, a child's fascination with insects might evolve into a project integrating science (life cycles), art (creating habitats), and literacy (documenting observations) (Saracho, 2023; Gondiawati & Nurhayati, 2024). Thematic units are co-constructed with children, ensuring relevance and engagement. Learning activities prioritize hands-on experiences, such as sensory play, role-playing, and collaborative problem-solving. These align with Piaget's emphasis on active exploration and Vygotsky's social constructivism, where peer interactions and adult guidance deepen understanding (Saracho & Evans, 2021; Toassa & Souza, 2010). Real-world connections—like community walks or gardening—bridge classroom learning with lived experiences, fostering critical thinking and cultural awareness (Suriansyah et al., 2025).

4.4. Assessment and Feedback

Assessment in child-centered settings is formative and process-oriented, focusing on growth rather than benchmarks. Tools like learning stories, portfolios, and anecdotal records capture children's progress holistically, highlighting cognitive, social, and emotional milestones (Vossoughi et al., 2021; Sawaia & Magiolino, 2016). Teachers use these insights to tailor scaffolding strategies and adjust the environment.

Feedback is delivered through positive reinforcement and reflective dialogue, empowering children to self-assess and set goals. For instance, a teacher might say, "I noticed you persisted in building that tower even when it fell. What could we try next?" This approach aligns with sociocultural theories, where assessment is intertwined with social interaction and metacognitive development (Delari Júnior & Passos, 2009; Shchelina, 2019).

5. Challenges and Proposed Solutions

Challenges in Teacher Understanding and Professional Development

One of the foremost challenges in implementing a child-centered approach is the limited understanding and inadequate professional capacity of educators. Many teachers remain influenced by traditional instructional methods and are not yet fully prepared to shift their role from content deliverers to facilitators and co-learners. Moreover, professional development opportunities remain scarce, with few structured programs offering both theoretical grounding and practical strategies aligned with child-centered education. Addressing this issue requires sustained investment in regular training, the establishment of professional learning communities within schools, and the encouragement of self-directed inquiry and peer observation among teachers.

Resource Constraints and Infrastructure Limitations

Insufficient resources and inadequate infrastructure present significant barriers to creating flexible, stimulating environments that support child-centered learning. Many early childhood centers lack open spaces, diverse educational materials, and sufficient funding to sustain varied, inquiry-based activities. These challenges are particularly pronounced in rural and underserved areas. To overcome these limitations, it is essential to mobilize support from the broader community, businesses, and NGOs, while also encouraging teachers to creatively use recycled materials, integrate outdoor learning, and leverage local resources to enrich the learning environment.

Curriculum Frameworks and Policy Support

Despite official endorsements of child-centered approaches in national education guidelines, existing curriculum frameworks often remain rigid, limiting teachers' ability to adapt content in response to children's interests and developmental needs. The

disconnect between theoretical ideals and policy implementation can lead to confusion and inconsistency in practice. To resolve this, curriculum policies should be revised to allow greater flexibility, provide clear guidance for individualized instruction, and align evaluation standards with holistic child development rather than standardized outcomes. Furthermore, assessment systems should shift from output-based metrics to formative, process-oriented evaluations that capture children's evolving competencies.

Theoretical Recommendations and Policy Implications

Grounded in the theoretical contributions of Vygotsky, Piaget, and the Reggio Emilia model, several recommendations can be made for policy and practice. First, early childhood education must be recognized as a unique domain where the environment, teacher roles, and social interactions play pivotal roles in child development. Second, policies should guarantee continuous professional development for educators—not only through formal training but also through reflective practice, classroom-based inquiry, and collegial dialogue. Third, quality standards and evaluation criteria should be redefined to reflect child-centered principles, serving both as a framework for monitoring and as a tool for pedagogical improvement. Lastly, budget allocations must prioritize investment in environments that align with contemporary educational theories, ensuring that all children can access developmentally appropriate and empowering learning experiences.

6. Conclusion

The child-centered approach redefines early childhood education by positioning children as active agents in their learning journey. Grounded in theories from Vygotsky, Piaget, and Reggio Emilia, this paradigm emphasizes flexible environments, responsive teaching, and experiential curricula to nurture holistic development. While challenges like teacher training and policy barriers persist, strategic investments in professional development, resource allocation, and systemic reform can bridge theory and practice. Future research should explore longitudinal impacts of child-centered models on lifelong learning and equity, ensuring all children have access to environments where their curiosity, creativity, and voice thrive.

References

- Alimovna, K. G., & Abduraufovna, B. A. (2025). Innovative Approaches To Creating A Developmental Environment For Preschool Children. *Web of Teachers: Inderscience Research*, 3(3), 1-5.
- André, M. E. D. A. (2013). *What is a qualitative case study in education?* Educação e Contemporaneidade, 22(40), 95–103.

- Cade, J., Wardle, F., & Otter, J. (2022). Quality early care and learning: Exploring child-centered pedagogy a qualitative multi-case study. *Cogent Education*, 9(1), 2144589.
- Capucci, R. R., & Silva, D. N. H. (2017). *When life and art meet: A dialogue between Vygotsky and Stanislawski*. *Psicologia em Estudo*, 22(3), 409–420. <http://periodicos.uem.br/ojs/index.php/PsicolEstud/article/view/35869>
- Catalano, H. (2021). Child-centered paradigm in early education. *Astra Salvensis-revista de istorie si cultura*, 9(18), 29-36.
- Catalano, H., Albulescu, I., Stan, C., Mestic, G., & Ani-Rus, A. (2023). Child-centered approach through slow education principles: A view to child personality development in Early Childhood. *Sustainability*, 15(11), 8611.
- Delari Júnior, A., & Passos, I. V. B. (2009, October). *Some meanings of the word 'Perezhivanie' in Vygotsky: Notes for future studies within Russian psychology*. In 3rd Internal Seminar of GPPL (Research Group on Thought and Language), Campinas, Unicamp (pp. 1–40). <https://www.yumpu.com/pt/document/read/13825608/alguns-sentidos-da-palavra-perejivanie-vigotski-brasil>
- Gondiwati, N., & Nurhayati, S. (2024). Child-centered learning in the home environment: implementation and challenges. *Jurnal Simki Pedagogia*, 7(1), 13-23.
- Gondiwati, N., & Nurhayati, S. (2024). Child-centered learning in the home environment: implementation and challenges. *Jurnal Simki Pedagogia*, 7(1), 13-23.
- Greaves, M., & Bahous, R. (2021). Adapting to change; Exploring early childhood educators' perceptions of a child-centered curriculum. *Early Childhood Education Journal*, 49, 581-592.
- Harris, J. R. (1995). Where is the child's environment? A group socialization theory of development. *Psychological review*, 102(3), 458.
- Hart, D., Atkins, R., Fegley, S., Robins, R. W., & Tracy, J. L. (2003). Personality and development in childhood: A person-centered approach. *Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development*, i-122.
- Lemos, A. S. C. (2018). *The dimension of affect in the cultural development of the child* (Master's dissertation, Faculty of Education, State University of Campinas).
- Pino, A. (2000). *The social and the cultural in Vygotsky's work*. *Educação & Sociedade*, (71), 45–78.
- Pino, A. (2005). *The marks of the human: On the origins of the cultural constitution of the child in the perspective of Lev S. Vygotsky*. São Paulo: Cortez.
- Pino, A. (2010). *The child and their environment: Vygotsky's contribution to child development and education*. *Psicologia USP*, 21(4), 727–740.
- Saracho, O. N. (2023). Theories of child development and their impact on early childhood education and care. *Early Childhood Education Journal*, 51(1), 15-30.
- Saracho, O. N., & Evans, R. (2021). Theorists and their developmental theories. *Early Child Development and Care*, 191(7-8), 993-1001.
- Sawaia, B. B., & Magiolino, L. S. (2016). *The nuances of affectivity: Emotion, feeling, and passion in perspective*. In L. Banks-Leite, A. L. B. Smolka, & D. D. Anjos (Eds.),

- Dialogues from the historical-cultural perspective: Interlocutions with activity-based clinical approaches* (pp. 61–86). Campinas: Mercado das Letras.
- Shchelina, T. (2019). Child Personality Development at the Stage of Preschool and Primary School Age as a Meaningful Reference Point of Continuous Professional Development of a Teacher. *ARPHA Proceedings*, 1, 819-826.
- Smidt, W., & Embacher, E. M. (2023). Does personality matter? The relationship between child personality and interaction quality in preschools. *Research Papers in Education*, 38(1), 45-68.
- Smolka, A. L. B. (1992). *Internalization: Its meaning in dialogical dynamics*. Educação & Sociedade, (42), 328–335.
- Suriansyah, A., Aslamiah, A., Amelia, R., & Cinantya, C. (2025, March). Optimizing Early Childhood Character Education: Management of Learning Models Inspired by Kalimantan Folklore. In *2nd International Conference on Environmental Learning Educational Technologies (ICELET 2024)* (pp. 254-269). Atlantis Press.
- Toassa, G., & Souza, M. P. R. (2010). *Lived experiences: Issues of translation, meanings, and epistemological sources in Vygotsky's legacy*. Psicologia USP, 21(4), 757–779.
- Vygotski, L. S. (2010). *Fourth lecture: The question of environment in pedology* (M. P. Vinha, Trans.). Psicologia USP, 21(4), 681–701.
- Vygotski, L. S. (2018). *Seven lectures by L. S. Vygotsky on the foundations of pedology* (1st ed.). Rio de Janeiro: E-Papers.
- Vossoughi, S., Davis, N. R., Jackson, A., Echevarria, R., Muñoz, A., & Escudé, M. (2021). Beyond the binary of adult versus child centered learning: Pedagogies of joint activity in the context of making. *Cognition and Instruction*, 39(3), 211-241.
- Vygotski, L. S. (1995). *Selected works III*. Madrid: Visor Distribuciones.
- Vygotski, L. S. (2006). *Selected works IV*. Madrid: Visor Distribuciones.
- Vygotskij, L. S. (2018). *The science of child development: Pedological texts (1931–1934)* (I. Leopoldoff, Trans.). Switzerland: Peter Lang.
- Winterbottom, C., & Hall, A. F. (2024). Embedding character education into an early childhood classroom through service-learning. In *Community-based Transformational Learning in Early Childhood Settings* (pp. 141-153). Routledge.